268

Sanctions the Irrigation Cess Fund Budget, for the year 1934-35.

READ-

Correspondence ending with letter No. C. Per. A. 12—33-34, dated the 31st May 1934, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the Budget Estimates for the Irrigation Cess Fund for the year 1934-35 with a memorandum on the subject.

ORDER No. 231-46-S., DATED BANGALORE, THE 24TH JULY 1934.

The estimated receipts for the year 1934-35 are shown below together with those of the previous year 1933-34:—

| · | · | District | , | Rs. 24,625 47,307 46,707 78,040 46,907 28,372 62,500 17,560 | Rs. 23,092 47,307 48,099 75,945 46,907 28,214 62,500 17,531 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bangalore Kolar Tumkur Mysore Hassan Kadur Shimoga Chitaldrug | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | Total · · | 3,52,018 | 3,49,595 |

The normal receipt of Tumkur Division is estimated at less than the amount of previous year and the reasons for this should have been explained.

- 2. Inclusive of Rs. 63,877 contribution from State Funds for maintenance of river channels which will be allotted from the provision of Rs. 65,000 made in the State Budget under "23. Irrigation Works charged to Revenue—4. Repairs" the total estimated receipts on 1st July 1934, will be Rs. 4,15,895. The Revenue Commissioner has taken credit for a sum of Rs. 71,598 as contribution from State Funds towards Establishment and Tools and Plant charges which is not possible to allot under the present conditions. Hence it is omitted. The Establishment and Tools and Plant charges will be debited to Irrigation Cess Fund itself as usual.
- 3. The Revenue Commissioner has proposed a programme of expenditure which amounts to Rs. 4,68,943, against the estimated receipts of Rs. 4,15,895. The Budget Estimates as submitted by the Revenue Commissioner are therefore modified and sanctioned as shown hereunder:—

| | , | District | | Expenditure during 1934-35 | |
|--------------------|-------|----------|-----|--------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | As proposed by the Revenue Commissioner | As now sanctioned |
| | | | | | |
| | | | } | Rs. | Rs. |
| Bangalore | | ~ | | 27,612 | 23,600 |
| Kolar | ••• | | | 50,199 | 47,200 |
| ${f Tumkur}$ | | *** | | 38,386 | 35,400 |
| Mysore · | | ••• | | 1,29,638 | 1,08,848 |
| ${f Hassan}$ | ••• | ••• | , | 65,216 | 56,956 |
| Kadur | • • • | ••• | | 33,040 | 28,320 |
| $\mathbf{Shimoga}$ | ••• | ••• | ••• | 1,02,070 | 1,02,070 |
| Chitaldrug | ••• | *** | ••• | 22,782 | 21,476 |
| | | Total | | 4,68,943 | 4,23,870 |

The details under receipts and expenditure are more fully given in the appended statement.

N. N. AYYANGAR, Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.